



Chairman of CJP
prof. Ph.D. Edin Šarčević

Statement on the social unrest of citizens in BH

Social uprising represents a legitimate response to the corrupt and unjust system of government in BH. Non-institutional corrections of institutional injustice are justified and deserve a support: positive balance of social unrest is far beyond material damage, and the damage caused by rigged tenders, employment of relatives and political allies, corrupt health care and education, inefficient courts and, for example, politically directed prosecution are far beyond the sum of all claims brought by a social uprising.

This occasion requires further explanation:

The BH constitutional system is a textbook example of mutual dependence of constitutional system and social wellbeing. It greatly facilitates, and probably directly determines the production of poverty and social injustice. This means that the real cause must be sought in the corrupt political elites and production of nationalist image which hides an overflow of gross social product into private property, but at the same time, in the constitutional order must be sought an important determinant of institutionalized injustice.

Concerning the motive, it is essential to conclude that the social protests will remain idle with the requirements reaching only to change of the cantonal governments or to the request for the establishment of a financial order. The problem itself - the constitutional system as a whole - will not be resolved in the long-term. In my opinion, neither new elections nor coerced resignation cannot bring the solution to the impoverishment and poverty, because neither affects the system error, i.e. the constitutional model that blocks progress toward a society of prosperity. It is my opinion, and thus summarizes several professional opinions, a state government which is responsible and opened towards society of prosperity cannot be established without changes of the constitutional framework.

The abolition of the entities and cantons, establishing rational federalism of more regions, centralization of state power and the distribution of competences between federal entities and the state must come into focus of a request for a new justice. Only a new constitutional system can provide long-term responsible government which can relieve the country from ethnic blockage and indent upon it on standards of legal and welfare state. My recommendation is to move from social unrest to determination of specific responsibility, and then to political demands which will focus on the real problem: the Dayton constitutional model.



The essence of the modern states - and that is the most important constitutional invention of the 20th century - is establishment of the legal and social statehood. The basic requirements of the welfare state include general health care, steady pension funds and the social welfare system that ensures the existence of the level of human dignity, prosperity for all, the right to housing, family protection, consumer protection, adequate salaries and judicial protection of enumerated values.

The Dayton constitutional model does not comply with these principles, actually functioning to invalidate them, and even more, to invalidate claims for social justice. The balance of this conclusion is not only the entity and cantonal funds separation or health care or entity separation of pension funds, but also the basic postulate of the existing constitutional document: Normative insurance of injustice. Constitutional framework encourages the rule of the people. It ensures the rule of the political class over economic flows and gross social product. The result is misery of "constituent nations". A simple description requires a complex approach to complicated legal and political phenomenon: the adoption of the full constitution of BH.

Edin Šarčević

Leipzig, 15 February 2014.